

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,355 10/12/2005		Paul George McCarthy	32406-212327	5689
26694 75 VENABLE LLP	590 01/30/2007		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 34385	5	:	VENNE, DANIEL V	
WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3617	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		. 01/30/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Applicati	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/520,3	55	MCCARTHY ET	MCCARTHY ET AL.			
		Examine	r	Art Unit	1			
		Daniel V.		3617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exten after 9 - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL sions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF TI 17 CFR 1.136(a). In no ex- cation. ory period will apply and w by statute, cause the apply	HIS COMMUNIC vent, however, may a re vill expire SIX (6) MONI plication to become AB	CATION. pply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	on <u>04 January</u> 200	<u>)5</u> .					
·								
3)								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-23 and 26</u> is/are pending in	the application.						
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23 and 26</u> is/are rejected.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election i	equirement.					
Application	on Papers							
9)[] 7	he specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
10)🛛 🗆	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 January 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				•			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)				ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/4/2005.			5) D Notice of In	formal Patent Application Continuation Sheet.				

Continuation of Attachment(s) 6). Other: Foreign Reference cited by examiner.

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

Specification -

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 3617

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 3

- 3. Claims 1-11, 20-23 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sato et al. (US 6224439). Sato et al. shows all of the claimed features, including, at least one sensor [160, 215, 224] located between a reservoir (fuel tank) [82] and an internal combustion engine [33] arranged to detect the presence of seawater in the fuel and provide an indication to an operator of a marine craft by an audible and/or visual warning device at more than one location, with each sensor located between an outlet from the reservoir and an inlet to a fuel pump, a fuel filter [86] located between the fuel pump and the engine, low pressure fuel pump [85] connected to an outlet from the reservoir and a high pressure fuel pump [94] connected to the low pressure fuel pump to supply fuel at high pressure to the engine and each sensor located between the outlet from the reservoir and the low pressure fuel pump, a fuel filter located between the low pressure fuel pump and the high pressure fuel pump, each sensor mounted near to the bottom of a water separator (vapor separator) [88] used to separate sea water from the liquid fuel and comprising a closed vessel having an upper wall, lower wall, at least one side wall, and an inlet and outlet connected to the upper wall of the closed vessel, as generally claimed. The warning device may be placed at any appropriate or control panel location on the watercraft.
- 4. Claim 26 is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hagerthy (US 5993675). Hagerthy shows all of the claimed features of the water separator [10, 70], including upper and lower walls, at least one side wall, and a vessel inlet and outlet, as generally claimed.

Art Unit: 3617

5. Claim 26 is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Montemayor Uzeta (WO 9924709). Montemayor Uzeta shows all of the claimed features of the water separator (Figs. 1-8), including upper and lower walls, at least one side wall, and a vessel inlet and outlet, as generally claimed.

Page 4

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 12-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato et al. (US 6224439), in view of Montemayor Uzeta (WO 9924709). Sato et al. shows all of the claimed features, with the exception of at least one baffle plate extending downwardly from the upper wall into the closed vessel at a position between the inlet and outlet locations, a baffle plate extending outwardly from one side wall of the closed vessel in close proximity to the outlet, an air bleed device fitted to the upper wall, drain plug fitted to the lower wall, at least one sensor fitted to one of the side walls of the closed vessel in close proximity to the lower wall, at least one sensor fitted to the lower wall of the closed vessel, and each sensor located such that when sea water reaches a predetermined level in the closed vessel, an indication is provided to the operator, as claimed. Montemayor Uzeta shows all of the excepted features indicated above (Figs. 1-8). Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to provide a

Art Unit: 3617

water separator with the features disclosed by Montemayor Uzeta with Sato et al. to create the invention as claimed by applicant. The motivation would have been to provide a more effective, efficient means with enhanced flow treatment capacity to separate water and impurities from fuel in the fuel supply of a watercraft with an internal combustion engine.

Page 5

Conclusion

- 11. Claims 24, 25 and 27 are cancelled.
- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nanami et al. (US 6394860 B1) shows a fuel supply system, including a reservoir (fuel tank) [74], fuel filter [218], low pressure fuel pump [216], high pressure fuel pump [223] and water (vapor) separator [220].
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel V. Venne whose telephone number is (571) 272-7947. The examiner can normally be reached between 7:30AM 4:00PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel J. Morano can be reached on (571) 272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

Art Unit: 3617

Page 6

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (In USA or CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DVV

25 January 2007

JESUS D. SOTELO PRIMARY EXAMINER

A. U. 3617